

The construction of brane and superbrane actions using non-linear realisations

Joaquim Gomis
Departament ECM, Facultat Física
Universitat Barcelona, Diagonal 647
E-08028 Barcelona, Spain
Department of Physics
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

Kiyoshi Kamimura
Department of Physics
Toho University, Funabashi 274-8510, Japan

and

Peter West
Department of Mathematics
King's College, London WC2R 2LS, UK

We derive new actions for the bosonic p-brane, super p-brane and the p-brane moving in AdS (dS) space-times using the theory of non-linear realisation without requiring the adoption of any constraints or using superfields. The Goldstone boson associated with the breaking of Lorentz transformations becomes a dynamical field whose equation of motion relates it algebraically to the remaining Goldstone fields.

1 Introduction

Non-linear realisations played a crucial role to understand the importance of symmetries in particle physics. In particular it has been developed in constructing lagrangians with chiral symmetries [1]. The classic papers of Callan, Coleman, Wess and Zumino [2] set out the general theory of non-linear realisations that applied to internal symmetries. In this case one takes a group G with a subgroup H and considers the coset elements of G/H which are taken to depend on space-time, which is otherwise unconnected with the group G . Transformations of the chosen coset representatives are then preserved by compensating H transformations. A slightly more general viewpoint than that considered contained in the original papers of [2] is to take a group G and work with group elements which depend on space-time, but take the theory to be invariant under the two independent transformations. $g(x^\mu) \rightarrow g_0 g(x^\mu)$, and $g(x^\mu) \rightarrow g(x^\mu) h(x^\mu)$ where g_0 is a rigid transformation which belongs to G and $h(x^\mu)$ is a local transformation that belongs to H [3,4]. In this way of proceeding one can refer to a non-linear realisation of a group G with local subgroup H . The dynamics is usually constructed from the Cartan forms which are clearly inert under rigid g_0 transformations and only transform under local H transformations. Clearly, the Cartan forms belong to the Lie algebra of G , but when decomposed to representations of the sub-algebra H they contain the adjoint as well as other representations of H . While the adjoint representation of H contained in the Cartan form $g^{-1}dg$ transforms inhomogeneously, all the remaining representations transform linearly and can be used to construct an action. If one is interested in the lowest energy contributions then one must construct an action with two space-time derivatives, that is an action that is only bilinear in the Cartan forms. Since the latter only transforms under local H transformations the construction of the most general such action is a problem in finding invariants under the subgroup H of the representations that arise in the Cartan form. Although well known to experts in non-linear realisations, it is not widely appreciated at large that the result is unique up to a few possible constants. Indeed one finds one constant for every quadratic numerically invariant H tensor that occurs for the representations, not including the adjoint, that occurs in the decomposition of the Cartan form. For a sufficiently large subgroup H , there is only one such numerically invariant tensor and the result is completely fixed.

Branes can be viewed as the motion of solitonic objects that occur in field theories and from this perspective their motion can be seen as a non-linear realisation corresponding to the symmetries that are spontaneously broken by the soliton. Clearly, the embedding coordinates transverse to the brane are the Goldstone bosons for the broken translations and in a supersymmetric theory part of the fermions correspond to the breaking of the supersymmetries by the solitons. Many of the early discussions of deriving the dynamics of branes from the theory of non-linear realisations concerned particular supersymmetric branes in space-times in low dimensions compared to that currently of most interest. In the first such papers [5] supersymmetric branes in four and six dimensional space-times were considered, but Goldstone bosons were introduced for only the breaking of translations, central charges and supersymmetries. However, in another early paper [6] the bosonic point particle in two dimensions was considered and Goldstone bosons corresponding to the broken translations, Lorentz transformations were introduced and an action was given

that depended on both of these Goldstone fields. It turned out that the equation of motion of the Goldstone field for Lorentz transformations expressed it in terms of the Goldstone bosons for the broken translations. Thus this equation of motion was an example of the inverse Higgs effect [7] which uses covariant constraints to eliminate algebraically Goldstone fields in terms of the others. These considerations were generalised to the non-relativistic particle and the massive superparticle in two dimensions where in both cases it was found that the actions can be interpreted as Wess-Zumino terms [8]. Later studies [9] of particular supersymmetric branes in several different dimensions also included Goldstone bosons corresponding to the breaking of generators of the Lorentz and some internal symmetries and the inverse Higgs mechanism was used to eliminate these Goldstone bosons. In all the papers mentioned above the unbroken translations and supersymmetry generators occurred in the group element associated with coordinates, which turned out to parameterise the brane world, and the Goldstone fields were taken to depend on these coordinates. Actions for p-branes using Lorentz harmonics have also been constructed from the geometrical viewpoint of the theory of embeddings [10].

The general procedure for using the theory of the non-linear realisation to construct the dynamics of branes is to take a group that has a semi-direct product structure. In particular, we consider a group K , with a specified subgroup H , together with a representation L which form a semi-direct product group denoted $G = K \otimes_s L$. We then further sub-divide the generators in the representation L of K into two sets L_1 and L_2 which are representations of H , a sub-algebra of K . The non-linear realisation of interest is that based on the group G with local subgroup H . We may think of the algebra K as an automorphism algebra of the algebra L which is not always a commuting algebra.

The dynamics of the simple bosonic p-brane from the view point of non-linear realisations was only considered relatively recently [11]. For this case one takes $K = SO(1, D-1)$ and L are the translation generators in the vector representation of $K = SO(1, D-1)$. We then take L_1 to contain $p+1$ of the translation generators and $H = SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D-p-1)$. The generators of L_1 being a vector under the first factor and a scalar under the second factor of H . The sets L_1 and L_2 contain the unbroken and broken translations and H is the group of unbroken Lorentz rotations. The Goldstone bosons of the Lorentz transformations played an important role and it was found they could be eliminated by adopting a covariant constraint (inverse Higgs) in terms of the Goldstone bosons for broken translations. Reference [11] also introduced fields associated with the unbroken translations which appeared in the group element and these in common with all the Goldstone fields were taken to depend on external coordinates that turned out to parameterise the brane world volume. One could then construct an action, after the elimination of the Goldstone bosons for broken Lorentz transformations by the inverse Higgs mechanism, which was invariant under the transformations of the non-linear realisation and in addition demand that the theory was reparameterisation invariant. In the supersymmetric cases studied in [11] the Goldstone fields were also taken to depend on Grassman odd coordinates and so were superfields.

It has been advocated [11] that to find the dynamics of certain supersymmetric branes using the theory of non-linear realisations one should take for K the most general automorphism algebra of L rather than just the Lorentz and internal symmetry algebras previously

considered. In particular, it was found [11,12] that the field strengths of the gauge fields that occur in D-branes are the Goldstone bosons associated with certain broken generators of such an enlarged automorphism algebra and that the gauge fields themselves are the Goldstone fields associated with certain central charges in the supersymmetry algebra. In fact this is an example of the general case; the Goldstone bosons associated with the broken generators of the automorphism algebra are often space-time derivatives of the Goldstone bosons associated with the broken generators of the algebra L [12].

Non-relativistic branes for Galilei [13] and Newton Hooke [14] groups have been constructed using non-linear realizations along the lines of the previous paragraph. The corresponding actions are Wess-Zumino terms of the previous groups.

In this paper we return to the construction of the actions for bosonic and superbranes from the theory of non-linear realisations. We will introduce fields for all the translations, the broken Lorentz symmetries and in the supersymmetric case for the all supercharges. All these fields will then be taken to depend on a set of parameters ξ that will turn out to parameterise the brane world volume. We will give a new action constructed from all of these Goldstone fields which is invariant under the transformations of the non-linear realisation and reparameterisations in the parameters ξ . The equations of motion of the Goldstone fields corresponding to the broken Lorentz generators express these fields in terms of the remaining Goldstone fields. Using these equations of motion to algebraically eliminate the Goldstone bosons associated with the broken Lorentz transformations in the action one finds the standard results for the dynamics of bosonic and super p-branes. All previous discussions of the dynamics of supersymmetric branes using non-linear realisations have used superfields. However, in this paper we will take the Goldstone fields to depend on a set of Grassman even parameters alone and so we will not use superfields. That we can proceed in this way is a consequence of the fact that in a non-linear realisation all the fields that appear in the superbrane are Goldstone fields and so are automatically introduced into the group element by the corresponding generator in the algebra. One of the motivations for this work is to give a systematic procedure for finding the dynamics of the simplest relativistic branes of interest in the hope that this will provide useful lessons that can be used for more complicated systems.

2 p-Brane in Flat Background

We now construct the non-linear realisation that leads to dynamics of the bosonic p-brane moving in a D -dimensional Minkowski space-time and so consider the algebra of translations and Lorentz rotations, $G = ISO(1, D - 1)$, whose generators obey the commutators

$$[J_{\underline{ab}}, J_{\underline{cd}}] = -i\eta_{\underline{bc}}J_{\underline{ad}} + i\eta_{\underline{ac}}J_{\underline{bd}} + i\eta_{\underline{bd}}J_{\underline{ac}} - i\eta_{\underline{ad}}J_{\underline{bc}} \quad (2.1)$$

$$[J_{\underline{ab}}, P_{\underline{c}}] = -i\eta_{\underline{bc}}P_{\underline{a}} + i\eta_{\underline{ac}}P_{\underline{b}}. \quad (2.2)$$

We take as our local sub-algebra $H = SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D - p - 1)$ which contains the generators $J_{ab}, J_{a'b'}$ which are the unbroken Lorentz rotations. We are using the notation that an underlined index, i.e. $\underline{a}$ goes over all possible values from 0 to $D - 1$ while the unprimed indices a take the values $a = 0, \dots, p$ and primed indices a' take the values $p + 1, \dots, D - 1$. The latter are the indices which are longitudinal and transverse to the brane respectively.

The group elements are taken to depend on the parameters ξ^i , $i = 0, \dots, p$. The non-linear realisation is by definition just the theory invariant under the transformations

$$g(\xi) \rightarrow g_0 g(\xi), \quad \text{and} \quad g(\xi) \rightarrow g(\xi) h(\xi) \quad (2.3)$$

where g_0 is a rigid transformation which belongs to $ISO(1, D-1)$ and $h(\xi)$ is a local transformation that belongs to $H = SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D-p-1)$.

We can take the group element to be given by

$$g = e^{ix^a P_a} e^{i\phi_a{}^{b'} J^a{}_{b'}} , \quad (2.4)$$

where x^a and $\phi_a{}^{b'}$ depend on the parameters ξ^i . We note that this is not the most general group element as we have used the local Lorentz transformations to set part of the group element to one.

It is convenient to take use an explicit form of the $SO(1, D-1)$ Lorentz transformations that is particularly suited to the decomposition into $SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D-p-1)$. Let us write the $SO(1, D-1)$ Lorentz transformation as

$$e^{-i\lambda \cdot J} e^{-i\phi_b{}^{c'} J^b{}_{c'}} P_a e^{i\phi_b{}^{c'} J^b{}_{c'}} e^{i\lambda \cdot J} = \Phi_{\underline{a}}{}^{\underline{c}} L_{\underline{c}}{}^{\underline{b}} P_{\underline{b}} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\lambda \cdot J = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_b{}^c J^b{}_c + \lambda_{b'}{}^{c'} J^{b'}{}_{c'})$ is a general $SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D-p-1)$ generator and $L_{\underline{a}}{}^{\underline{c}}$ is the corresponding finite transformation on $P_{\underline{a}}$. We may express Φ in the form

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & \varphi \\ -\varphi^T & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \varphi B_2 \\ -\varphi^T B_1 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

where $B_1 = (I_1 + \varphi \varphi^T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $B_2 = (I_2 + \varphi^T \varphi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. In this equation φ carries the indices $\varphi_a{}^{b'}$ and so the matrices B_1 and B_2 have the indices $(B_1)_a{}^b$ and $(B_2)_{a'}{}^{b'}$ respectively and similarly for the unit matrices I_1 and I_2 . Clearly, the Lorentz transformations where $\lambda_b{}^c = 0 = \lambda_{b'}{}^{c'}$ are just given by Φ and $\varphi_a{}^{b'}$ parameterise the coset $SO(1, D-1)/SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D-p-1)$. The inverse Lorentz transformation is given by

$$\Phi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & -B_1 \varphi \\ B_2 \varphi^T & B_2 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.7)$$

The dynamics is built out of the Cartan forms

$$\mathcal{V} = -ig^{-1}dg = \mathcal{V}_i d\xi^i$$

where $\mathcal{V}_i$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_i = e_i{}^a P_a + e_i{}^{a'} P_{a'} + \frac{1}{2} w_{i\underline{a}}{}^{\underline{b}} J^{\underline{a}}{}_{\underline{b}} \quad (2.8)$$

which are inert under rigid G transformations and transform under local $SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D-p-1)$ transformations as

$$\mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow h^{-1} \mathcal{V}_i h - i h^{-1} \partial_i h . \quad (2.9)$$

Of course if one is working with a group element part of which is fixed by a local H transformation, as indeed is the case here, then a rigid G transformation involves a compensating H transformation. However, when constructing invariants this can be viewed as just another local H transformation. The Cartan forms are given by

$$e_i^a = \partial_i x^c \Phi_{\underline{c}}^a = (\partial_i x^c - \partial_i x^{b'} \varphi_{b'}^{Tc})(B_1)_c^a, \\ e_i^{a'} = \partial_i x^c \Phi_{\underline{c}}^{a'} = (\partial_i x^c \varphi_c^{b'} + \partial_i x^{b'})(B_2)_{b'}^{a'}, \quad w_{ia}^b = (\Phi^{-1})_{\underline{a}}^c \partial_i(\Phi)_{\underline{c}}^b. \quad (2.10)$$

The Cartan forms transform under the local $SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D - p - 1)$ as their indices suggest; for example if $h = e^{i\frac{1}{2}\beta_a^b J^a_b}$ then

$$\delta e_i^a = e_i^c \beta_c^a, \quad \delta e_i^{a'} = 0, \\ \delta w_{ia}^b = \partial_i \beta_a^b + w_{ia}^c \beta_c^b - \beta_a^c w_{ic}^b, \quad \delta w_{ib'}^a = w_{ib'}^c \beta_c^a. \quad (2.11)$$

We can interpret e_i^a as a vielbein on the brane world volume.

We require an action which is invariant under the transformations of the non-linear realisation of equation (2.4) and, imposed as an additional requirement, is also invariant under diffeomorphisms in ξ^i . We therefore take as our action

$$\int d^{p+1} \xi \det e_i^a. \quad (2.12)$$

The action of equation (2.12) is a functional of $\varphi_a^{b'}$ and x^b . Using the expression of equation (2.10) we find that we may write the action as

$$\int d^{p+1} \xi \det\left(\frac{\partial x^c}{\partial \xi^i}\right) \det(I_1 - \partial x \varphi^T) \det(I_1 + \varphi \varphi^T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.13)$$

where ∂x is the matrix $(\partial x)_a^{b'} = \frac{\partial x^{b'}}{\partial x^a}$. Varying with respect to $\varphi_a^{b'}$ we find the equation of motion

$$(I_1 + \varphi \varphi^T)^{-1} \varphi = -(I_1 - \partial x \varphi^T)^{-1} \partial x. \quad (2.14)$$

In deriving this equation we have used the fact that $(I_1 + \varphi \varphi^T)$ is a symmetric matrix. Multiplying by φ^T we find that

$$(I_1 + \varphi \varphi^T)^{-1} = (I_1 - \partial x \varphi^T)^{-1}. \quad (2.15)$$

Substituting this result in equation (2.14) we conclude that the unique solution is

$$\varphi = -\partial x, \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi_a^{b'} = -\frac{\partial x^{b'}}{\partial x^a}. \quad (2.16)$$

This latter equation is just solution to the condition

$$e_i^{a'} = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

We could have adopted this condition as an inverse Higgs condition from the outset as it is an invariant condition under the transformations of the non-linear realisation. Using (2.16) we find that

$$e_i^a = (\partial_i x^b)((I_1 + \partial x(\partial x)^T)^{\frac{1}{2}})_b^a \quad (2.18)$$

and the action (2.12) becomes the covariant Nambu-Goto action in flat space

$$A = -T \int d^{p+1} \xi \sqrt{-\det G_{ij}} \quad (2.19)$$

where G_{ij} is the induced metric

$$G_{ij} = \partial_i x^a \partial_j x^b \eta_{ab} . \quad (2.21)$$

The Goldstone bosons for the Lorentz generators are the Lorentz harmonics used in reference [10] to construct actions for p-branes. However, these authors also introduce a vielbein on the brane world sheet and do not have an explicit parameterisation for their Lorentz harmonics, but rather work with constrained fields. The action of equation (2.12) may well be related to the actions used in reference [10] provided one eliminates some fields using their algebraic equations of motion and introduces the parameterisation used here for Lorentz transformations.

3. Relativistic Brane in AdS_D and dS_D :

We can apply the method of the non-linear realization to find the dynamics of a p-brane in the AdS_D (dS_D) space-time. As such we take G to be the AdS_D (dS_D) algebra which consists of the generators $J_{\underline{ab}}$, that obey the commutator of equation (2.1) as well as the generators $P_{\underline{a}}$ that can be viewed as the translations, although they are not commuting

$$[P_{\underline{a}}, P_{\underline{b}}] = \mp i \frac{1}{R^2} J_{\underline{ab}} . \quad (3.1)$$

In this last equation $-$ refers to AdS_D and $+$ to dS_D and R is the radius of the AdS_D (dS_D) space. We take the local sub-algebra to be $H = SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D - p - 1)$ which is the same as in the case of flat space. The group element is subject to the transformation of equation (2.3). Using the local symmetry, the group elements can be chosen to be of the form

$$g = g_0 U, \quad g_0 := e^{i x^a P_{\underline{a}}}, \quad U := e^{i \phi_a^{b'} J_{\underline{a}}^{b'}} \quad (3.2).$$

where the fields x^a and $\phi_a^{b'}$ depend on the parameters ξ^i , ($i = 0, 1, \dots, p$). The Cartan one forms are given by

$$\mathcal{V} = U^{-1} \mathcal{V}_0 U - i U^{-1} dU = e^{\underline{a}} P_{\underline{a}} + \frac{1}{2} w^{\underline{ab}} J_{\underline{ab}} . \quad (3.3)$$

The expression $\mathcal{V}_0$ that occurs in the first term is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = -i g_0^{-1} d g_0 = \tilde{e}^{\underline{a}} P_{\underline{a}} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{w}^{\underline{ab}} J_{\underline{ab}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\tilde{e}^a$ and $\tilde{w}^{ab}$ are $\text{AdS}_D(\text{dS}_D)$ vielbein and spin connection which satisfy the Maurer Cartan equations of the $\text{AdS}_D(\text{dS}_D)$ algebra

$$d\tilde{e}^a + \tilde{w}^{ab}\tilde{e}_b = 0, \quad d\tilde{w}^{ab} + \tilde{w}^a_{\ c}\tilde{w}^{cb} \pm \frac{1}{R^2}\tilde{e}^a\tilde{e}^b = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The Cartan forms of equation (3.3) are inert under the rigid transformations, but transform under the local transformations as in equation (2.9). Using equation (2.5) we find that

$$U^{-1}P_a U = \Phi_a^{\ b}P_b, \quad (3.6)$$

and so we conclude that

$$e^a = \tilde{e}^b \Phi_b^{\ a} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\Phi_b^{\ a}$ is given in equation (2.6). The expression for e^a is obtained from those of the Poincare case by just substituting $\partial_i x^a$ by $\tilde{e}_i^b$ and hence the way $\varphi_a^{b'}$ occurs is similar.

The action should be invariant under the transformations of the non-linear realisation, that is the local and rigid transformations of equation (2.3). However, we also demand that it be invariant under diffeomorphism in the parameters ξ^i . The 1-forms e^a are inert under the rigid transformations and transform as vectors under the local $SO(1, p)$ transformations. Hence, the action is given by

$$A = -T \int \Omega_{p+1} = -T \int d^{p+1}\xi \det(e_i^a) \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\Omega_{p+1} = -\frac{1}{(p+1)!} \epsilon_{a_0 \dots a_p} e^{a_0} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{a_p}, \quad (3.9)$$

we take $\epsilon^{01\dots p} = +1 = -\epsilon_{01\dots p}$.

The action depends on the non-dynamical Goldstone field $\varphi_a^{b'}$ in a similar way to that for the case of flat space. Following similar steps as in the previous section we conclude that the equations of motion for $\varphi_a^{b'}$ leads to the condition

$$\varphi_a^{b'} = -(\tilde{e}^{-1})_a^{\ i} \tilde{e}_i^{b'}. \quad (3.10)$$

This is equivalent to imposing the inverse Higgs conditions

$$e_i^{a'} = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Substituting the expression for $\varphi_a^{b'}$ of equation (3.10) into the action we get the known generalisation of the Nambu-Goto action to a bosonic brane moving in $\text{AdS}_D(S_D)$ space-time

$$A = -T \int d^{p+1}\xi \sqrt{-\det G_{ij}} \quad (3.12)$$

where G_{ij} is the induced metric

$$G_{ij} = \tilde{e}_i^a \tilde{e}_j^b \eta_{ab} := \partial_i x^m \partial_j x^n g_{mn}(x), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\tilde{e}_i^a = \partial_i x^m \tilde{e}_m^a$ and $g_{\underline{mn}}(x) = \tilde{e}_m^a \tilde{e}_n^b \eta_{ab}$ is the metric on AdS_D (dS_D) space-time.

4. Super p-Branes

We now wish to use the theory of non-linear realisations to find the dynamics for the super p-brane in flat space. To this end we take the algebra G to be the super Poincare algebra whose generators obey the commutators of equations (2.1) and (2.2) as well as the relations

$$[Q_{\underline{\alpha}}, J_{\underline{ab}}] = -\frac{i}{2}(\Gamma_{\underline{ab}})_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\beta} Q_{\underline{\beta}}, \quad [Q_{\underline{\alpha}}, P_{\underline{a}}] = 0, \quad \{Q_{\underline{\alpha}}, Q_{\underline{\beta}}\} = 2(\Gamma^{\underline{a}} C^{-1})_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}} P_{\underline{a}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where C is the charge conjugation matrix.

We take as local sub-algebra $H = SO(1, p) \otimes SO(D - p - 1)$ which is the same algebra as for bosonic branes. The group elements can be chosen to be of the form

$$g = g_0 U, \quad g_0 := e^{ix^{\underline{a}} P_{\underline{a}}} e^{\bar{\theta}^{\underline{\alpha}} Q_{\underline{\alpha}}}, \quad U := e^{i\phi_a^{b'} J^a_{b'}}, \quad (4.2)$$

and $\bar{\theta}^{\underline{\alpha}} = \theta_{\underline{\beta}} C^{\underline{\beta}\underline{\alpha}}$. Note that we take the group element g , and so the fields $x^{\underline{a}}$, $\theta_{\underline{\alpha}}$ and $\phi_a^{b'}$, to depend on the Grassman even parameters $\xi^i, i = 0, 1, \dots, p$. This differs from the usual treatment where one usually takes the fields to depend on the parameters ξ^i as well as a set of Grassman odd variables $\theta_{\underline{\alpha}}$. The group element then contains superfields. In a usual supersymmetric theory the component fields of the theory are contained as the coefficients of the superfields when Taylor expanded in the θ . However, in a non-linear realisation all the fields that arise correspond to the breaking of some generator of the algebra and so will occur as the lowest component of the superfield that is associated with that generator. Hence, using superfields in the theory of non-linear realisations one finds a considerable redundancy as all the component fields that occur as higher components of the superfields will also occur at the bottom of a superfield. This redundancy will imply the existence of a number of inverse Higgs conditions which will relate the superfields. Taking the fields to depend on just the ξ^i , as we do here, we will avoid this redundancy and allow us to work with the minimal of formalism.

The Cartan forms are given by

$$\mathcal{V} = U^{-1} \mathcal{V}_0 U - i U^{-1} dU = e^{\underline{a}} P_{\underline{a}} - i \bar{e}^{\underline{\alpha}} Q_{\underline{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} w^{\underline{ab}} J_{\underline{ab}}. \quad (4.3)$$

The expression $\mathcal{V}_0$ which appears in the first term is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = -i g_0^{-1} d g_0 = \pi^{\underline{a}} P_{\underline{a}} - i \bar{\pi}^{\underline{\alpha}} Q_{\underline{\alpha}} \quad (4.4)$$

where $\pi^{\underline{a}}, \bar{\pi}^{\underline{\alpha}}$ are supervielbeins of Poincare superspace which are given by

$$\pi^{\underline{a}} = dx^{\underline{a}} + i \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\underline{a}} d\theta, \quad \bar{\pi}^{\underline{\alpha}} = d\bar{\theta}^{\underline{\alpha}}. \quad (4.5)$$

We recall that the spin connection of Poincare superspace vanishes. Using (2.5) and the analogous equation for the spinor representation $\tilde{\Phi}_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ of the boost U

$$U^{-1} P_{\underline{a}} U = \Phi_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} P_{\underline{b}}, \quad U^{-1} Q_{\alpha} U = \tilde{\Phi}_{\alpha}^{\beta} Q_{\beta}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$e^{\underline{a}} = \pi^{\underline{b}} \Phi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}, \quad \bar{e}^{\underline{\alpha}} = \bar{\pi}^{\underline{\beta}} \tilde{\Phi}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\alpha}}. \quad (4.7)$$

where $\Phi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}$ is given in equation (2.6) and $\tilde{\Phi}_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}} = \left(\exp(\frac{i}{2} \phi \cdot J) \right)_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}} = \left(\exp(i \phi_a^{b'} J^{a}_{b'}) \right)_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}} = \left(\exp(\frac{1}{2} \phi_a^{b'} \Gamma^a \Gamma_{b'}) \right)_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}}$. We note that the expression for $e^{\underline{a}}$ is the same as that of equation (2.10) except that $\frac{\alpha}{dx^{\underline{a}}}$ is replaced by $\pi^{\underline{a}}$.

The action for the super p-brane must be invariant under the rigid and local transformation of equation of the non-linearly realised theory, however, we will also demand that it is invariant under diffeomorphisms in the parameters ξ^i . The quantity e^a transforms as a vector under $SO(1, p)$ transformations and so the action

$$A^{NG} = -T \int \mathcal{L}^{NG}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{NG} = -\frac{1}{(p+1)!} \epsilon_{a_0, \dots, a_p} e^{a_0} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{a_p}, \quad (4.8)$$

is invariant.

However, there is another invariant action due to the existence of a non-trivial $p+2$ form in the Chevalley Eilenberg cohomology group [15]. The Chevalley Eilenberg cohomology considers the group manifold and the space of closed forms that are invariant under the left action of the group. We take two closed forms h and h' (i.e $dh = 0 = dh'$) to be equivalent if their difference is given by $h - h' = dk$ where k is a left invariant form. The cohomology is then the set of equivalence classes with this equivalence relation. A particular example of a non-trivial element of this cohomology would be a closed $p+2$ left invariant form, h_{p+2} , which can therefore be constructed from the left invariant Cartan one forms, such that $h_{p+2} = dh_{p+1}$ where the $p+1$ form h_{p+1} is not left invariant and so it cannot be written in terms of left invariant Cartan 1-forms.

In our case, we have a H invariant $p+2$ form h given by

$$h = \frac{-i}{p!} (e^{\underline{a}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{\underline{a}_p}) \wedge \bar{e}^{\underline{\alpha}} \wedge \bar{e}^{\underline{\beta}} (\Gamma_{\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_p} C^{-1})_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}. \quad (4.9)$$

It is closed if

$$(\Gamma^{\underline{a}_1} C^{-1})_{(\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}} (\Gamma_{\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_p} C^{-1})_{\underline{\gamma}\underline{\delta})} = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

where indices $(\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}\underline{\gamma}\underline{\delta})$ are totally symmetric. It holds in the dimensions in which the p-branes exist [16], that is $p = 1, 2 \bmod 4$ provided we take a spinor of the appropriate character.

Using the relation between the spinorial and the Lorentz transformation

$$\tilde{\Phi} \Gamma_{\underline{a}} \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} = (\Phi^{-1})_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} \Gamma_{\underline{b}} \quad (4.11)$$

one can show that h is actually independent of $\Phi_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}}$ and so $\varphi_a^{b'}$ and is given by

$$h = -\frac{i}{p!} (\pi^{\underline{a}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^{\underline{a}_p}) \wedge \bar{\pi}^{\underline{\alpha}} \wedge \bar{\pi}^{\underline{\beta}} (\Gamma_{\underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_p} C^{-1})_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Since the form h is closed it can be written as $h = d\mathcal{L}^{WZ}$ where $\mathcal{L}^{WZ}$ is a quasi-invariant $p+1$ form, $\delta\mathcal{L}^{WZ} = d\lambda$. An explicit form of the WZ lagrangian is [17]

$$\mathcal{L}^{WZ} = \frac{-1}{(p+1)!} \sum_{r=0}^p (-1)^r \binom{p+1}{r+1} \pi^{a_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \pi^{a_{r+1}} \wedge K^{a_r} \wedge \dots \wedge K^{a_1} \wedge K_{\underline{a_1}, \dots, \underline{a_p}}, \quad (4.13)$$

where we have used $(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} = -1$ and

$$K^a = i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^a d\theta, \quad K_{\underline{a_1}, \dots, \underline{a_p}} = i\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{\underline{a_1}, \dots, \underline{a_p}} d\theta. \quad (4.14)$$

and $\binom{p+1}{r+1}$ is the binomial coefficient. This result can be shown using $d\pi^a = dK^a$ and an identity obtained from (4.10),

$$dK^{a_1} K_{\underline{a_1}, \dots, \underline{a_p}} + K^{a_1} dK_{\underline{a_1}, \dots, \underline{a_p}} = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Since the Goldstone fields $\varphi_a^{b'}$ appear only in the first action A^{NG} , its equation of motion is found following similar steps to those explained in section two. The equation of motion implies that $\varphi_a^{b'} = -(\pi^{-1})_b^i \pi_i^{b'}$ which is equivalent to setting $e^{a'} = 0$. Using this expression we may eliminate $\varphi_a^{b'}$ from the action to find that A^{NG} is now given by

$$A^{NG} = -T \int d^{p+1}\xi \sqrt{-\det G_{ij}} \quad (4.13)$$

where G_{ij} is the induced metric

$$G_{ij} = \pi_i^a \pi_j^b \eta_{ab} \quad (4.14)$$

and π_i^a is the supervielbein given by (4.5).

The total action is $A = A^{NG} + bA^{WZ}$ where b is a real constant without no restrictions at classical level. It is well know that the total action is invariant under kappa transformations if $b = \pm 1$.

5. Discussion

In this paper we have used the theory of non-linear realisations to give new actions for the bosonic and super p-branes. These actions depend on all the Goldstone fields of the theory including those for the broken Lorentz transformations. The latter can be eliminated algebraically using their equations of motion to recover the usual actions. These constructions underline the importance of including such Goldstone fields in addition to those associated with the breaking of translations and supersymmetries. We have also shown that when considering supersymmetric theories that arise from spontaneous symmetry breaking one does not have to introduce superfields. One of the aims of this paper was to provide a more systematic account of the dynamics of the simplest branes from the view point of the theory of non-linear realisations. It has been proposed [12] that the branes in M theory should possess E_{11} symmetry and one may hope that the lessons learnt in this paper may be of use in this much more difficult construction.

It would be interesting to see if the actions given in this paper which include the Goldstone bosons associated with broken Lorentz algebras can be extended to encompass theories where more general automorphisms are present as advocated in [11,12].

Taking a group element that includes fields associated to unbroken translations and other generators in L implies the presence of local symmetries in the action. We will show in a forthcoming paper, that such symmetries, that is brane volume diffeomorphism and kappa transformations can also be deduced from the theory of non-linear realisations.

Acknowledgements We acknowledge discussions with Andrés Anabalón, Jaume Gomis, Norisuke Sakai, Toine Van Proeyen, Paul Townsend, Jorge Zanelli. Joaquim Gomis and Peter West would like to thank the CECS, Valdivia, Chile, where part of this work was carried out, for their support and hospitality. We also thank Benasque Center of Science for their hospitality. This work is supported in part by the European EC-RTN network MRTN-CT-2004-005104, MCYT FPA 2004-04582-C02-01, CIRIT GC 2005SGR-00564. PW is supported by a PPARC senior fellowship PPA/Y/S/2002/001/44. This work was in addition supported in part by the PPARC grant PPA/G/O/2000/00451 and the EU Marie Curie research training work grant HPRN-CT-2000-00122.

Appendix

In this appendix we wish to compute the Cartan forms using some explicit parameterisations of the Lorentz group. We choose the group element for the bosonic p-brane to be of the form

$$g = g_0 U, \quad g_0 = e^{ix^a P_a}, \quad U = e^{i\phi_a{}^{b'} J^{a b'}}. \quad (A.1)$$

The Cartan forms are defined by

$$\mathcal{V} = -ig^{-1}dg = U^{-1}(-ig_0^{-1}dg_0)U - iU^{-1}dU. \quad (A.2)$$

where $-ig_0^{-1}dg_0 = dx^a P_a$. Using the commutator relations of the Poincare algebra we find that

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1}P_a U &= (\cosh V)_a{}^b P_b + \phi_a{}^{c'} \left(\frac{\sinh \tilde{V}}{\tilde{V}} \right)_{c'}{}^{b'} P_{b'} = \Phi_a{}^b P_b, \\ U^{-1}P_{a'} U &= -\phi^c{}_{a'} \left(\frac{\sinh V}{V} \right)_c{}^b P_b + (\cosh \tilde{V})_{a'}{}^{b'} P_{b'} = \Phi_{a'}{}^{b'} P_{b'} \end{aligned} \quad (A.3),$$

where

$$(V^2)_a{}^b = -\phi_a{}^{c'} \phi^b{}_{c'} = -(\phi \phi^T)_a{}^b, \quad (\tilde{V}^2)_{a'}{}^{b'} = -\phi^c{}_{a'} \phi_c{}^{b'} = -(\phi^T \phi)_{a'}{}^{b'} \quad (A.4)$$

where $(\phi^T)_{c'}{}^b = \phi^b{}_{c'} = \eta^{ba} \phi_a{}^{d'} \eta_{d'c'}$. We also use

$$(V^2)_a{}^b \phi_b{}^{c'} = \phi_a{}^{b'} (\tilde{V}^2)_{b'}{}^{c'}. \quad (A.5)$$

Consequently we find that the Lorentz transformation $e^{i\phi_c{}^{d'}J^c{}_{d'}}$ has the vector representation $\Phi_{\underline{a}}{}^b$ which is given by

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_a{}^b & \Phi_a{}^{b'} \\ \Phi_{a'}{}^b & \Phi_{a'}{}^{b'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\cosh V)_a{}^b & \phi_a{}^{c'}(\frac{\sinh \tilde{V}}{\tilde{V}})_{c'}{}^{b'} \\ -\phi^c{}_{a'}(\frac{\sinh V}{V})_c{}^b & (\cosh \tilde{V})_{a'}{}^{b'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A.6)$$

In the section two, this same Lorentz transformation was presented in an alternative parametrisation given in equation (2.6)

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & \varphi \\ -\varphi^T & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \varphi B_2 \\ -\varphi^T B_1 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (A.7)$$

where $B_1 = (I_1 + \varphi\varphi^T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $B_2 = (I_2 + \varphi^T\varphi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. These latter quantities are related to the matrices ϕ by

$$(B_1)_a{}^b = (\cosh V)_a{}^b, \quad (B_2)_{a'}{}^{b'} = (\cosh \tilde{V})_{a'}{}^{b'}$$

$$(\varphi)_a{}^{b'} = \phi_a{}^{c'}(\frac{\sinh \tilde{V}}{\tilde{V} \cosh \tilde{V}})_{c'}{}^{b'}, \quad (\varphi^T)_{a'}{}^b = (\varphi)_{a'}{}^b. \quad (A.8)$$

The last term of equation (A.2) can be written as

$$-iU^{-1}dU = d\phi_a{}^{b'} \int_0^1 d\alpha e^{-i\alpha\phi_c{}^{d'}J^c{}_{d'}} J^a{}_{b'} e^{i\alpha\phi_c{}^{d'}J^c{}_{d'}}$$

$$= d\phi^{aa'} \left(\left(\frac{\sinh W}{W} \right)_{aa'}{}^{bb'} J_{bb'} - \left(\frac{\cosh W - 1}{W^2} \right)_{aa'}{}^{bb'} (J_{bc}\phi^c{}_{b'} + J_{b'c'}\phi_b{}^{c'}) \right) \quad (A.9)$$

where

$$(W^2)_{aa'}{}^{bb'} = (V^2)_a{}^b \delta_{a'}{}^{b'} + \delta_a{}^b (\tilde{V}^2)_{a'}{}^{b'} + 2\phi_a{}^{b'} \phi_{a'}{}^b. \quad (A.10)$$

Using these equations the Cartan forms are found to be given by

$$e^a = dx^b \Phi_{\underline{b}}{}^a = dx^b (\cosh V)_b{}^a - dx^{b'} \phi^c{}_{b'} (\frac{\sinh V}{V})_c{}^a,$$

$$e^{a'} = dx^b \Phi_{\underline{b}}{}^{a'} = dx^b \phi_b{}^{c'} (\frac{\sinh \tilde{V}}{\tilde{V}})_{c'}{}^{a'} + dx^{b'} (\cosh \tilde{V})_{b'}{}^{a'},$$

$$w^{aa'} = d\phi^{bb'} (\frac{\sinh W}{W})_{bb'}{}^{aa'},$$

$$w^{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} d\phi^{cc'} (\frac{\cosh W - 1}{W^2})_{cc'}{}^{aa'} \phi_{a'}^b - (a \leftrightarrow b),$$

$$w^{a'b'} = -\frac{1}{2}d\phi^{cc'}\left(\frac{\cosh W - 1}{W^2}\right)_{cc'}{}^{aa'}\phi_a{}^{b'} - (a' \leftrightarrow b'), \quad (A.11)$$

where $(a \leftrightarrow b)$ is the term in which a and b are replaced in the previous term.

References

- [1] S. Weinberg, “*Nonlinear Realizations Of Chiral Symmetry*,” Phys. Rev. **166** (1968) 1568; J. S. Schwinger, “*Chiral Dynamics*,” Phys. Lett. B **24**(1967) 473; “*Partial Symmetry*,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **18**(1967) 923; D. B. Fairlie and K. Yoshida, “Chiral symmetry from divergence requirements,” Phys. Rev. **174** (1968) 1816.
- [2] S. R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, “*Structure Of Phenomenological Lagrangians. 1*,” Phys. Rev. **177** (1969) 2239, C. G. . Callan, S. R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, “*Structure Of Phenomenological Lagrangians. 2*,” Phys. Rev. **177** (1969) 2247.
- [3] A. P. Balachandran, A. Stern and C. G. Trahern, “*Nonlinear Models As Gauge Theories*,” Phys. Rev. D **19** (1979) 2416.
- [4] M. Bando, T. Kugo, S. Uehara, K. Yamawaki and T. Yanagida, “*Is Rho Meson A Dynamical Gauge Boson Of Hidden Local Symmetry?*,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 1215.
- [5] J. Hughes and J. Polchinski, *Partially broken global supersymmetry and the superstring*, Nucl Phys B278 (1986) 147.
- [6] J. Gauntlett, K. Itoh, and P. Townsend, *Superparticle with extrinsic curvature*, Phys. Lett. B238, (1990) 65.
- [7] E. A. Ivanov and V. I. Ogievetsky, “*The Inverse Higgs Phenomenon In Nonlinear Realizations*,” Teor. Mat. Fiz. **25** (1975) 164.
- [8] J. P. Gauntlett, J. Gomis and P. K. Townsend, “*Particle Actions As Wess-Zumino Terms For Space-Time (Super) Symmetry Groups*,” Phys. Lett. B **249** (1990) 255, J. P. Gauntlett and C. F. Yastremiz, “*Massive Superparticle in $D = (2+1)$ and Sigma Model Solitons*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **7** (1990) 2089.
- [9] J. Bagger, A. Galperin, “*Matter couplings in partially broken extended supersymmetry*,” Phys. Lett. B **336** (1994) 25; “*A new Goldstone multiplet for partially broken supersymmetry*,” Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 1091; “*The tensor Goldstone multiplet for partially broken supersymmetry*,” Phys. Lett. B **412** (1997) 296; S. Bellucci, E. Ivanov, S. Krivonos, “*Partial breaking of $N = 1$ $D = 10$ supersymmetry*,” Phys. Lett. B **460** (1999) 348; E. Ivanov, S. Krivonos, “ *$N = 1$ $D = 4$ supermembrane in the coset approach*,” Phys. Lett. B **453** (1999) 237; S. V. Ketov, “*Born-Infeld-Goldstone superfield actions for gauge-fixed $D=5$ and $D=3$ branes in $6d$* ,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14** (1999) 501.
- [10] I. A. Bandos and A. A. Zheltukhin, “*Green-Schwarz superstrings in spinor moving frame formalism*,” Phys. Lett. B **288**(1992) 77, I. A. Bandos, D. P. Sorokin, M. Tonin, P. Pasti and D. V. Volkov, “*Superstrings and supermembranes in the doubly supersymmetric geometrical approach*,” Nucl. Phys. B **446**, 79 (1995).
- [11] P. West, “*Automorphisms, non-linear realizations and branes*,” JHEP **0002** (2000) 024.

- [12] P. West, "*E₁₁ origin of Brane charges and U- duality multiplets*". JHEP **0408** (2004) 052.
- [13] J. Bruges, T. Curtright, J. Gomis and L. Mezincescu, "*Non-relativistic strings and branes as non-linear realizations of Galilei groups*," Phys. Lett. B **594** (2004) 227.
- [14] J. Bruges, J. Gomis and K. Kamimura, "*Newton-Hooke algebras, non-relativistic branes and generalized pp-wave metrics*," Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 085011.
- [15] J. A. De Azcarraga and P. K. Townsend, "*Superspace Geometry And Classification Of Supersymmetric Extended Objects*," Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** (1989) 2579.
- [16] A. Achucarro, J. M. Evans, P. K. Townsend and D. L. Wiltshire, "*Super P-Branes*," Phys. Lett. B **198** (1987) 441.
- [17] J. M. Evans, "*Super P - Brane Wess-Zumino Terms*," Class. Quant. Grav. **5** (1988) L87.